Application No. Applicant(s) 10/516 975 FRANCISQUINI. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary MELOUISEDEC Fyaminer Art Unit James O. Hansen 3637 All Participants: Status of Application: In condition for allowance (1) James O. Hansen. (3) _____. (4) (2) Mr. William Sapone. Date of Interview: 28 August 2008 Time: ~ Type of Interview: ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: all claims of record Prior art documents discussed: n/a Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED. See Continuation Sheet Part III. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /James O. Hansen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, minor amendments in order to cure clarity issues, and cancelling nonelected claims 33-36] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes as outlined in the attached examiner's amendment.